

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/812,170	03/30/2004	Tadahiro Hiramoto	TSG-033-025	3334
20374	7590 08/25/2005		EXAMINER	
KUBOVCIK & KUBOVCIK			WINSTON, RANDALL O	
SUITE 710 900 17TH STREET NW			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			1655	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/812,170	HIRAMOTO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Randall Winston	1655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 June 2005</u> .						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,4,5,8,9,12 and 13 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5,8,9,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,	·				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:						

M

Application/Control Number: 10/812,170

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement is made of the receipt and entry of the amendment filed on June 2, 2005.

The rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph have been overcome by Applicant's amendment.

The rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been overcome by Applicant's amendment.

Examiner acknowledges that claims 2-3, 6-7 and 10-11 have been cancelled.

Claims 1, 4-5, 8-9 and 12-13 will be examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-5, 8-9 and 12-13 as amended are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamaoki et al. (JP 407025764A).

Applicant claims a method of inhibiting the growth of bacteria comprising contacting the bacteria with an antibacterial agent product comprising a mixture of nonvolatile compounds said mixture of nonvolatile compounds containing 40% by weight or more of coumarin analogues.

In applicant's response on June 2, 2005, Applicant argues Tamaoki does not disclose a method of inhibiting the growth of bacteria using an antibacterial agent within

the scope of the claims of the present application which is a mixture of nonvolatile compounds obtained by fraction of the portion of a citrus cold press oil remaining after citrus cold press oil is heated at 90 to 120 Celsius under reduced pressure, the mixture of nonvolatile compounds containing 40% by weight of more of coumarin analogues.

Page 3

Applicant argument is not found persuasive because claims 1, 4-5, 8-9 and 12-13 as amended are now rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) because Tamakoi et al. teach the claimed antibacterial agent product comprising a mixture of non-volatile coumarin analogues (i.e. the reference teaches a mixture of 5-geranoxyposoralen and/or 5-geranoxy-7-methoxycouramarin, also please note that Tamaoki et al's antibacterial agent is also extracted from the pericarp, the peel, of a citrus fruit to obtain the claimed antibacterial agent product and the antibacterial agent product comprising the coumarin analogues would also intrinsically be non-volatile because the coumarin analogue are obtained by the same food product of a citrus fruit lemon, absent evidence to the contrary) that would intrinsically inhibit the growth of bacterial when contacted and intrinsically be a food or oral care product of a citrus fruit lemon. Tamakoi, however, does not teach applicant's claimed amounts.

Based on the overall teachings of Tamakoi, the adjustment of other conventional working conditions (e.g., the nonvolatile compounds containing 40% by weight or more of coumarin analogues), is deemed a matter of judicious selection and routine optimization which is well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Accordingly, the invention as a whole is prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Please note that the patentability of a product (i.e. the product obtained by fractionation of the portion of a citrus cold press oil remaining after citrus cold press oil is heated at 90 to 120 Celsius) does not depend upon the method of production. If the product in a product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, then the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art product was made by a different process" (see, e.g. MPEP 2113).

No claims are allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/812,170

Art Unit: 1655

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randall Winston whose telephone number is 571-272-0972. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ansand, læ 8-18-05

Page 5

SUSAN COE